

<p>Title and Introduction</p> <p>Fronted adverbials</p> <p>Formal language</p> <p>Relative clause</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>Was it right for children to be evacuated during World War Two?</u></b></p> <p>A much-discussed topic for many years has been whether children during World War 2 should have been evacuated. Many mothers, who were survivors of the war, have expressed contrasting views about the effects that the war had on them and their children. Therefore, this text will look at the benefits and downfalls of evacuation.</p>
<p>Reasons for</p> <p>Formal sentence openers</p> <p>Colon</p> <p>Parenthesis</p> <p>Hyphen</p>	<p>From the point of view of many, evacuating children from the congested streets of London was good for many reasons: mental health and safety being most important. Moving to the countryside meant that children were safe from air raid attacks and the damage caused by them. Also, they had a lot more space to play, clean fresh air to breathe (unlike the city) and a close-knit community. It has been suggested that all of these things gave them a better childhood. In addition to this, seventy-five percent of evacuees said that they became very attached to their billeting parents and others reported to feel like they had almost gained a 'second family'.</p>
<p>Reasons against</p> <p>Was/were</p> <p>Parenthesis</p> <p>Formal language</p> <p>Relative clause</p> <p>Rhetorical question</p>	<p>On the other hand, it has been argued that forcing children away from their homes and families was not good - often causing depression. Children were separated from their mums and dads and because of this, became homesick. To make matters worse, they were unable to write to their families very often because stamps were rationed. Not only did children suffer but parents did too: they could not visit their children often, as transport around the country was prioritised for military personnel, which caused much frustration. In conjunction, older brothers and sisters, who were beyond the age of evacuation, stayed in the cities. Similarly, babies usually stayed at home, this meant that evacuated children often left their brothers and sisters behind. How would you have felt leaving behind everything you had ever known?</p>
<p>Conclusion</p> <p>Subordinating conjunction</p> <p>Fronted adverbials</p> <p>Colon</p> <p>Contraction</p> <p>Apostrophe</p>	<p>In conclusion, I think that children should have been evacuated during World War 2 because there were already enough soldiers that died for the country: we couldn't afford to lose more citizens. Furthermore, many children were given a better life in the countryside than they had in the city. Although it must have been distressing for children to be separated from their loved ones, children were kept safe from the effects of war. The war changed everybody's lives therefore, I believe that evacuees should have been grateful that they were escaping the destructive sinister war.</p>